

1 Code: 2610
2 Pro Se Litigant
3 Roger Hillygus
4 90 Wells Fargo Ave.
5 Dayton , NV 89403
6 (775) 232-5583
7 rhillygus@gmail.com

8 **IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**
9 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE**

10
11
12
13 In the Matter of the
14 HILLYGUS FAMILY TRUST
15 Dated August 17, 1993

Case No. PR14-00025/GR14-00159
Dept. No. 7

16 In the Matter of the Guardianship of
17 The Person and Estate of
18 SUSAN L. HILLYGUS

19
20 **NOTICE**

21 **NOTICE IS HEREBY PROVIDED** to all parties and this court regarding the
22 **following rights protected by the Nevada and United States Constitutions. Let this Notice**
23 **serve as the Legal justification for the following notice regarding jury trials, and Void**
24 **orders.**

25 **Notice of Limited Supplemental Jury Process to take effect in**
26 **all State Civil, Family, Juvenile, and Probate Courts - Self Effectuating**

27 **Absolute Power corrupts absolutely. So the Founders created a system of intricate checks**
28 **and balances. Did the Founders forget about Judges? Where is the limit of the power of any**
Judge to 1) Take your Children 2) Take all your money 3) Throw you in jail? It's right
here! Simple

1 ¶1 **Command Authorities:**

2 ¶1.1 US Constitution 7th Amendment, Right to a Jury is *Preserved*, shall not be reexamined.

3 ¶1.2 NV Constitution Art 1, Sect 3, Right to a Jury is Inviolable. (All States use “inviolable”.)

4 ¶1.3 US Constitution 10th Amendment, the Govt has discretion, most rights are self-
5 effectuating.

6 ¶2 **Supporting Authorities:**

7 ¶2.1 State Statutes, no law prohibits juries in these courts, if it did, it would be irrelevant.

8 ¶2.2 Federal and State Rules of Civil Procedure, 38 and 39, affirm Jury Rights are *inviolable*.

9 ¶2.3 Family, Juvenile, and Probate Rules of Procedure contain no prohibitions to a Jury.

10 ¶2.4 Federalist 83, Juries are the check on the power of Civil Courts meant to prevent
11 corruption.

12 ¶2.5 Case Law, *Minneapolis & St. Louis R. Co. v Bombolis*, 241 U.S. 211 (1916); *United Gas*
13 *Public Service Co. v. Texas*, 303 U.S. 123 (1938) affirm the Courts discretion to furnish a Jury.
14 There is no prohibition of Juries in Civil Courts. Any notions of prohibition, are false.

15 ¶3 **Jurisdiction:** A) The Courts and then B) the People (WTPCS.org) (10th Amendment)
16 The People prefer a Bench Trial. It is efficient and Justice is usually rendered without
17 intervention.

18 ¶3.1 Unjust infringement on Parental or Familial Rights. Presumption of shared parenting.

19 ¶3.2 Unjust taking of property or money by Judicial order.

20 ¶3.3 Unjust or excessive incarceration or detention.

21 ¶3.4 Gag Orders – The Purpose of the 1st Amendment is to criticize the Government.

22 For any of the above lingering matters, a Litigant should petition the Court to schedule a
23 hearing where the People exert their just authorities over these matters via a Jury furnished by
24 the Court. ¶3.5 At the Courts discretion, the People via WTPCS may furnish their own Juries in
25 a scheduled Court hearing or otherwise per Rules established by the People to best preserve due
26 process.

27 ¶3.6 WTPCS has discretion to provide or not provide a Jury based on the merits of the case.

28 Judges are encouraged to apply findings of fact and conclusions of law to Judicial Orders so that
Juries are more likely to affirm their orders.

1 ¶4 **Limits on the Power of a Jury:** Court hearings conducted with a Jury are subject to
2 normal Court Rules. Jury verdicts rendered outside of a Court hearing may only either affirm
3 the Judicial Order or Strike down a Judicial Order and provide advice to the Court. The verdict
4 may be filed into the case. This supplemental jury panel may not change the order or otherwise
5 make law.

6 ¶4.1 The Judge may do nothing if the order was affirmed, or nothing was filed into the case.

7 ¶4.2 Schedule a hearing with a Jury whose verdict would then be superior.

8 ¶4.3 Revise the order to the satisfaction of the parties or in keeping with guidance from the Jury.

9 ¶4.4 Defy a Jury verdict which is the just and guaranteed authority of the People to govern
10 themselves, creating a breach of the Supremacy Clause and the Oath per Art 6 Clauses 2 & 3.

11 No judge is required to be a judge and may resign at any time. This would necessitate notifying
12 the Governor of a vacancy and seeking a replacement be appointed. Notification of POTUS to
13 avoid a Federal appointment since the Judge has resigned and is no longer authorized to
14 exercise Judicial Powers. The new status of the judge shall be made public so the People may
15 avoid being subjected to a judge who openly defies their oath and the Constitution. These
16 remedies are effective 72 hours after a Jury Verdict declaring orders void and unenforceable
17 have been served. Law enforcement may not enforce orders issued by a former judge or anyone
18 else who is not a judge.

18 ¶5 This process is designed to enhance the confidence of the People in their Judiciary with
19 minimal cost and intervention. Most Judges are competent and just, and their docket is expected
20 to decrease as cases settle more readily as opportunities for corruption are reduced. This has
21 been our experience. Over time a small number of Judges may end up finding something else to
22 do.

23 Thank-you for your service to the People in your official capacity as a Judicial Officer.

24 Sincerely,
25 /s/Martin Lynch
26 General Manager – We the People Court Services - Phoenix Office

27
28 © This Limited Supplemental Jury Process and associated documents is the product of years of study,
development, and testing. It is the intellectual property of We the People Court Services LLC.

1 AFFIRMATION: This document contains no social security numbers. Per NRS 239.

2 Respectfully submitted,

3
4
5 /s/Roger Hillygus

6 Roger Hillygus (son)

7 Retired NV Public Safety Civil Servant

8
9
10 *This document is being submitted with guidance from;*

11
12 *We the People*

13 *Court Services*

14
15 <https://www.wtpcs.org>

16 Liberty and Justice for All

17 Thursday May 30, 2019



23 Liberty and Justice for All

24
25
26
27
28 ©WTPCS Rules for Juries in Civil Courts are protected intellectual property

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 30TH day of May, 2019, I served a copy of the following Documents: Notice of Supplemental Jury Process by E-flex, mail, Sufficient paid Fees, and /or by hand delivery, as follows:

1. Attorney Todd L. Torvinen, 232 Court Street, Reno Nevada 89501;
2. Attorney Keith Tierney Esq. 527 California Ave. Reno, NV 89501;
3. Attorney Ryan Earl, 548 West Plum Lane, Suite B, Reno Nevada 89509;
4. Attorney David Spitzer 199 So. Arlington lane, Reno, Nevada 89502
5. Gunderson Law Firm John Funk 236 Warren Way Reno, NV 89502
6. Professor of Constitutional Law Martin Lynch WTPCS, 1120 W. Broadway RD. Apt 55, Tempe, AZ 85282

The above entitled document has been disseminated to the parties listed below as either named parties in the above entitled litigation or as persons with interest in said outcome.

Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Clerk
Jackie Bryant
Office of the Clerk of the Court
75 Court St
Reno, Nevada 89501

Washoe County Second Judicial District Court Judge
Judge Eagan Walker
Department 7
Office of Judge Eagan Walker
75 Court St
Reno, NV. 89501

Todd Torvinen Esquire
232 Court St
Reno, NV. 89501

Heritage Law Group
1625 NV-88
Suite 304
Minden, NV. 89423

Washoe Legal Service
David Spitzer Esq.
299 S Arlington Ave
Reno, NV 89501